Application No. 10/724,825 Reply to Office Action of March 23, 2005

<u>REMARKS</u>

Favorable reconsideration of this application as presently amended and in light of the

following discussion is respectfully requested.

Claims 21-35 are presently pending in this application. No claims are amended,

canceled, or added by this amendment.

In the outstanding Office Action, the specification was objected to, and Claims 21-35

were rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as

unpatentable over Claims 1, 3, 6, and 19 of U.S. Patent No. 6,664,591.

Regarding the objection to the specification, the specification is amended as suggested

in the outstanding Office Action without adding new matter. Accordingly, it is respectfully

submitted the objection be withdrawn.

Regarding the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting

rejection. Applicants file concurrently with the present response a Terminal Disclaimer as

suggested in the outstanding Office Action. Accordingly, it is respectfully submitted Claims

21-35 are allowable.

Consequently, in view of the present amendment and in light of the above discussion,

the present application is believed to be in condition for allowance and an early and favorable

action to that effect is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND,

MAJER & NEUSTADT, P.C.

Customer Number

22850

Tel: (703) 413-3000

Fax: (703) 413 -2220 (OSMMN 06/04)

EHK/RFF/ys

Eckhard H. Kuesters

Attorney of Record

Registration No. 28,870

3